

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/741,634	12/19/2000	John G. Sikonia	595.03-US1	5633	
7	590 08/19/2002				
SANDRA P. THOMPSON			EXAMINER		
RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP 611 ANTON BLVD.			ROCHE, LI	ROCHE, LEANNA M	
14TH FLOOR COSTA MESA	CA 92626-1998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1771		
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2002		
				4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		AS-	
	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/741,634	SIKONIA, JOHN G.	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	_
	Leanna Roche	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REATHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the me earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of this iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allocation closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440( ) ( 1) (7)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the preparation of the pr</li></ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language       15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/741,634

Art Unit: 1771

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-17, drawn to a layered dielectric constant nanoporous material, classified in class 428, subclass 315.5.
- II. Claims 18-33, drawn to a method of producing a layered low dielectric constant nanoporous material, classified in class 216, subclass 56.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the low dielectric constant nanoporous material could be made by another materially different process such as by pretreating the second layer to create nanoporosity followed by depositing the nanoporous second layer onto a first layer.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a first layer comprising 1) a continuous, non-porous

Art Unit: 1771

polymer, 2) a refractory ceramic material, 3) a nanoporous material, 4) an adamantanebased compound, or 5) a continuous material.

- 5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1, 2, 10-15, and 17 are generic to Group I and Claims 18, 19 and 27-33 are generic to Group II.
- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/741,634

**Art Unit: 1771** 

9. A telephone call was made to Robert Fish on July 30, 2002 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being

made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

**Contact Information** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Leanna Roche whose telephone number is 703-308-

6549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am

to 6:00 pm (with alternate Mondays off).

larna Roche

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor. Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for

regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

lmr'

August 7, 2002

TERREL MORRIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700